#### **Remarks/Arguments**

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 12-20 were pending in the present application. In the September 2, 2008 Office Action, claims 12 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,157,618 to Boss et al. (hereinafter "Boss"). Claims 1, 4-6, and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Boss in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0042765 to Dawson (hereinafter "Dawson"). Claim 2 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 15-20 were allowed.

By this amendment, claim 2 has been cancelled and claims 1, 12, and 13 have been amended. Following entry of this amendment, claims 1, 4-6, and 12-20 will be pending in the present application. For the reasons set forth below, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and immediate allowance of this application.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

# Claims 15-20

The applicant notes with much appreciation that claims 15-20 are allowed.

#### Dependent Claim 2

Dependent claim 2 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In this regard, the applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include the recitations of dependent claim 2. No new matter has been introduced by way of these amendments. Claim 2 has been canceled. Accordingly, in view of the previous indication of allowability of the subject matter of claim 2 and the foregoing amendment, the applicant submits that claim 1 is in condition for immediate allowance.

HBH Docket No.: 60046.0067US01

#### Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

### <u>Independent Claim 12</u>

Independent claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Boss*. The applicant has amended claim 12 to more clearly recite aspects of the present invention. No new matter has been introduced by way of these amendments. The applicant respectfully submits that *Boss* does not teach, suggest, or describe each and every recitation of independent claim 12, as amended. In particular, *Boss* does not suggest or describe "An apparatus for setting a real time clock of a computer management device communicatively connected to the apparatus . . . comprising . . . [a] web browser plug-in module operative to . . . issue a command to the computer management device to set the real time clock to the Greenwich Mean Time value," as recited in amended claim 12.

On page 3 of the Office Action, in the statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter, the Examiner states that the cited art does not teach or suggest "executing a web browser plug-in module on a remote computer communicatively connected to [a] redirection device, wherein the web browser plug-in module [is] operative to send a command to the redirection device to set the real-time clock to the specified GMT time value." In amended claim 12, the apparatus describes the remote computer. Further, as stated on page 8, lines 19-21, of the applicant's disclosure, the terms "redirection device" and "computer management device" refer to the same element of the applicant's invention. Therefore, the applicant submits that the cited art also does not recite a web browser plug-in module executing on an apparatus communicatively connected to a computer management device and operative to send a command to the computer management device to set the real-time clock to the GMT value, as recited in independent claim 12, as amended.

Accordingly, because *Boss* does not teach, suggest, or describe each and every element of independent claim 12, as amended, the applicant submits that this claim is in condition for immediate allowance and respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn.

#### Dependent Claim 13

Dependent claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being further anticipated by *Boss*. For at least the reasons that this claim depends from allowable independent claim 12, as discussed above, and contains patentable subject matter not shown in the cited references, the

applicant submits that dependent claim 13 is in condition for immediate allowance and

respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

<u>Independent Claim 1</u>

Independent claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Boss in

view of Dawson. As discussed above, independent claim 1 has been amended to include the

recitations of dependent claim 2, which depends from claim 1. Accordingly, in view of the

previous indication of allowability of the subject matter of claim 2 and the foregoing

amendment, the applicant submits that independent claim 1 is in condition for immediate

allowance.

Dependent Claims 4-6

Dependent claims 4-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Boss* 

in view of Dawson. For at least the reasons that these claims depend from allowable

independent claim 1, as discussed above, and contain patentable subject matter not shown in the

cited references, the applicant submits that dependent claims 4-6 are in condition for immediate

allowance and respectfully request these rejections be withdrawn.

Dependent Claim 14

Dependent claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Boss in

view of *Dawson*. For at least the reason that this claim depends from allowable independent

claim 12, as discussed above, and contains patentable subject matter not shown in the cited

references, the applicant submits that dependent claim 14 is in condition for immediate

allowance and respectfully request this rejection be withdrawn.

8

Serial No.: 10/790,442

Response to Office Action dated 9/2/2008

HBH Docket No.: 60046.0067US01

## Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, the applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims in the present application are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and reexamination of the application and allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited. If the Examiner has any questions or comments concerning this matter, the Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's undersigned attorney at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

HOPE BALDAUFF HARTMAN, LLC

/Michael J. Baldauff, Jr./

Michael J. Baldauff, Jr.

Reg. No. 57,998

Date: January 2, 2009

Hope Baldauff Hartman, LLC 1720 Peachtree Street, N.W. Suite 1010 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Telephone: 404.815.1900

53377

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE